# Alen Ellyn School District 41 <br> Ignite passion. Inspire excellence. Imagine possibilities. 

Abraham Lincoln Benjamin Franklin Churchill Forest Glen Hadley

## D41 Fall Academic Update

November 11, 2019

## Tonight we will present information on:

- The NWEA MAP Insights Report - IAR Scores
- Summative Designations
- Illinois Science Assessment
- Algebra I data
- District Next Steps


## What is the difference between MAP, ISAT, PARCC \& IAR?

| MAP | ISAT | PARCC | IAR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Local Assessment <br> Nationally Normed | Retired State Assessment | State Assessment that replaced <br> ISAT in 2014-15 | State Assessment that will <br> begin Spring 2019 |
| Administered K-8 <br> K-2 read to students <br> 2-5 student reads to self <br> 6+ (Elementary 5th grade AEC \& Hadley <br> Students) | Administered 3-8 <br> By Grade Level | Administered 3-8 <br> By Grade Level <br> 7th \& 8th grade students can take <br> Algebra I or Geometry test based on <br> course taken | Administered 3-8 <br> By Grade level. All students <br> will be required to take the <br> grade level assessment even if <br> they are accelerated |
| Given 3 times a year (Fall, Winter, Spring) | Given once a year | Given once a year (Spring) | Given once a year (Spring) |
| Adaptive Growth Assessment(adjusts <br> level of difficulty based on student <br> response) | Performance Assessment | Performance Assessment | 2019-Performance <br> 2021-Adaptive Growth |
| Measures student growth and readiness <br> for new content | Accountability measure that <br> measures mastery of grade <br> level content | Accountability measure that <br> measures mastery of grade level <br> content | Accountability measure that <br> measures mastery of grade <br> level content and beyond (in <br> 2021) |
| Multiple Choice/adaptive | Multiple Choice/extended | Multiple choice/application \& provide <br> evidence | "PARCC like" |

# Insights Report <br> Prepared for Glen Ellyn School District \#41 

Fall 2018 to Spring 2019

## Effectiveness Levels

This report uses these levels to describe the achievement and growth of your students.

| Growth and Status Percentile Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\geq$ | $<$ |
| Substantially above | 78.5 | 100 |
| Moderately above | 69.5 | 78.5 |
| Slightly above | 57.5 | 69.5 |
| About average | 42.5 | 57.5 |
| Slightly below | 30.5 | 42.5 |
| Moderately below | 21.5 | 30.5 |
| Substantially below | 0 | 21.5 |

## Executive Summary: Achievement and Growth

Achievement is moderately above average while growth is average.

District median achievement is $73^{\text {rd }}$ percentile.

Mathematics was above median.
Reading was below median.
District median growth is $55^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

Mathematics was above median.
Reading was below median.

Achievement and Growth


## Executive Summary: Proficiency and College Readiness

In at least one subject, 66\% of students should meet state standards and $72 \%$ are on track for college readiness.

Projected proficiency: math is higher
52\% proficient in ELA
58\% proficient in math
66\% proficient in ELA or math
College readiness: ELA and math are about the same

62\% college ready in ELA
$63 \%$ college ready in math
72\% college ready in ELA or math

Proficiency and College Readiness in at Least One Subject

```
100%
```



District 412019 IAR Scores Compared to NWEA Projected Proficiency

NWEA Projected Proficiency:

52\% Projected Proficiency in ELA

58\% Projected Proficient in Math

66\% proficient in ELA or math

## D41 2019 IAR

 Performance Data:55\% proficient in ELA

60\% proficient in Math

68\% proficient in Math or ELA

## 2019 District IAR Performance Levels by Subgroup

\% of Students Achieving Performance Level


## IAR Proficiency vs. Benchmark Districts - 2019



## Executive Summary: Longitudinal Growth

The district's recent growth has been lower than previous two years.

2016-17 growth percentile is slightly above average (60)
2017-18 growth percentile is slightly above average (61)
2018-19 growth percentile is average (55)


## New Measurement: IAR GROWTH BY SUBGROUP



Math-2019


## How are District Students Doing: Achievement Status

Fall 2018 achievement scores show a larger proportion of students in the top quartile than national norms.

Top quartile: a larger proportion (49\%) than is typical (25\%)
Middle two quartiles: a smaller proportion (42\%) than is typical (50\%)
Lowest quartile: a smaller proportion
(9\%) than is typical (25\%)

How Many Students are Above or Below Average?


## How are District Students Doing: Growth by Quartile

All quartiles of students had approximately the same growth from fall to spring.
Top quartile: ${ }^{\text {growth }\left(56_{t h}\right)}$ approximately equal to the norm
Middle two quartiles: ${ }^{\text {growth }}\left(55_{\text {th }}\right)$ approximately equal to the norm Lowest quartile: ${ }^{\text {growth }\left(54_{\text {th }}\right)}$ approximately equal to the norm

Are Students Growing Equally?

|  | Lowest <br> quartile | Middle two <br> quartiles | Top quartile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $48^{\text {th }}$ | $49^{\text {th }}$ | $48^{\text {th }}$ |
| Math | $59^{\text {th }}$ | $60^{\text {th }}$ | $63^{\text {rd }}$ |
| Total | $54^{\text {th }}$ | $55^{\text {th }}$ | $56^{\text {th }}$ |
| Norm | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ |

## How are District Students Doing: by Decile

Overall growth percentiles of top and bottom decile students are average.

Top decile: ${ }^{\text {a larger proportion (25\%) }}$
than is typical (10\%)
Growth percentile: $56^{\text {th }}$
Bottom decile: a smaller proportion (4\%) than is typical (10\%)

Growth percentile: $55^{\text {th }}$

| Are Students Growing Equally? |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Bottom decile |  |  |
| Top decile |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
| $55^{\text {th }}$ |  |  |
| Norm |  |  |

## Which Subjects are Strongest?

District students are strong in math for both achievement and growth.

## District Overall:

## High Achievement/High Growth

Achievement: $74^{\text {th }}$ (moderately above average)
Growth: $55^{\text {th }}$ (about average)

## Mathematics:High Achievement/High

Growth
Achievement: $74^{\text {th }}$ (moderately above average)
Growth: $62^{\text {nd }}$ (slightly above average)
Reading:High Achievement/Low Growth
Achievement: 73rd (moderately above average)
Growth: $48^{\text {th }}$ (about average)

Median Status and Growth Percentile by Subject for All Students


## How is School Status \& Growth?

$100 \%$ of schools (5 of 5) had high achievement and high growth; no schools had both low achievement and low growth.

High Achievement/High Growth
5 schools or $100 \%$
Low Achievement/High Growth
0 schools or 0\%
High Achievement/Low Growth
0 schools or 0\%
Low Achievement/Low Growth
0 schools or 0\%

Status and Growth by School


## School-Level Detailed Scores

Schools' achievement ranged from $60^{\text {th }}$ to $79^{\text {th }}$ percentiles and growth ranged from $50^{\text {th }}$ to $62^{\text {nd }}$ percentiles.

|  | Reading |  | Math |  | Overall |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | MSP | MGP | MSP | MGP | MSP | MGP |
| Abraham Lincoln | 76 | 45 | 80 | 59 | 78 | 53 |
| Benjamin Franklin | 78 | 50 | 80 | 56 | 79 | 53 |
| Churchill | 61 | 45 | 59 | 53 | 60 | 50 |
| Forest Glen | 73 | 46 | 75 | 59 | 74 | 52 |
| Hadley Junior High | 76 | 51 | 76 | 72 | 76 | 62 |
| Outplaced | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |

## Are We Proficient \& College Ready: Overview

In both ELA and math, $44 \%$ of students should meet state standards and $53 \%$ are on track for college readiness.


## Is Our Growth Strong Over Time?

3-year growth is slightly above national norms; math is consistently above average; reading is average, but with variations across years.


## How Do Boys and Girls Compare: Overview

Both median achievement and growth were about the same for girls and boys, respectively.

Girls and boys both had moderately above average achievement.
Girls and boys both had average growth.

Achievement \& Growth


## What About Ethnicity and Gender: by Ethnic Group

Achievement ranges from $40^{\text {th }}$ for African-American and Hispanic students to $79^{\text {th }}$ for Caucasian students; growth ranges from $42^{\text {nd }}$ for African-American students to $57^{\text {th }}$ for Caucasian students.

| Caucasian |  | "Other" | Asian | African- <br> American |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |
| Achievement | 79 | 75 | 68 | 40 | 40 |
| Growth | 57 | 55 | 54 | 42 | 48 |

Percent of Test Scores
by Ethnicity


## What About Ethnicity and Gender: by Ethnicity and Gender

For achievement, the largest difference between female and male students was in reading for African Americans.

Achievement and Growth Percentile by Ethnicity and Gender

|  | Caucasian |  | "Other" |  | Asian |  | AfricanAmerican |  | Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |  |
|  | 78 | 73 | 75 | 70 | 69 | 58 | 45 | 31 | 45 | 35 | Reading |
|  | 79 | 83 | 75 | 83 | 72 | 70 | 40 | 43 | 40 | 38 | Math |
|  | 51 | 48 | 52 | 53 | 50 | 45 | 33 | 32 | 48 | 39 | Reading |
|  | 63 | 65 | 63 | 65 | 55 | 64 | 49 | 57 | 52 | 50 | Math |

District 41 Designations

## Preschool through 8th Grade

## Academic Indicators

1. English Language Arts Growth: $25 \%$
2. Math Growth: $25 \%$
3. English Language Arts Proficiency: 7.5\%
4. Math Proficiency: 7.5\%
5. Science Proficiency: 5\%
6. English Learner Progress to Proficiency: 5\%

## School Ouality/Student Success Indicators

7. Chronic Absenteeism: 20\%
8. Climate Survey: 5\%

9. P-2 (Chronic Absenteeism, Dual Language Programs, Third-Grade Literacy Grades, and Participation in Enrichment and Acceleration)*
10. 3-8(Fifth-Grade Math Grades, Middle School Grades and Discipline, Participate in Enrichment and Acceleration)*
11. Fine Arts*

Statistics for 2019


- Exemplary schools had "all students" index scores at or above 80.12 (ES) or 85.42 (HS)
- Lowest Performing schools had "all students" index scores at or below 35.33 (ES) or 8.17 (HS)
- Underperforming schools had one or more student groups whose index score was below 35.33 (ES) or 8.17 (HS)
- Commendable schools had scores ranging from 80.12 - 35.33 (ES) or $85.42-8.17$ (HS) but with no students groups whose index scores were at or below 35.33 (ES) or 8.17 (HS)


## What Are Our Schools' Designations?

## Summative Designation

Commendable Schools

Underperforming Schools

Lowest Performing Schools

## What Do Our School Designations Mean?

| Exemplary | - Performance in the top $10 \%$ of all schools <br> - High schools with graduation rate higher than 67\% <br> - No underperforming student groups at or below the "all students" group in the lowest-performing $5 \%$ of all schools <br> Eligible to apply to serve as an IL-EMPOWER Learning Partner |
| :---: | :---: |
| Commendable | - Performance not in the top $10 \%$ of all schools <br> - High schools with graduation rate higher than $67 \%$ <br> - No underperforming student groups at or below the "all students" group in the lowest-performing $5 \%$ of all schools <br> ( Eligible to apply to serve as an IL-EMPOWER Learning Partner |

## District 41 Summative Designations

| School | Designation (Index Score) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abraham Lincoln School | Exemplary (81.07) |
| Benjamin Franklin School | Commendable (70.21) |
| Churchill School | Commendable (65.09) |
| Forest Glen School | Exemplary (80.55) |
| Hadley Junior High School | Commendable (65.26) |

Elementary Exemplary Threshold 80.12
Elementary Commendable Threshold below 80.12-35.33

## District 41-Second Highest \% of 8th Grade Students Passing the Algebra I Course Compared to Our Benchmarks


\% of 8th Grade Students Passing Algebra I 2019


## Illinois Science Assessment (ISA)



## What's the District's Focus in 2019-2020?

- Implemented a Blended Prek program for all students
- Continue to build a continuum of services for IEP students in their home schools based on individual student's needs
- Continue Cycles of Inquiry with a focus on reading and differentiation
- Focus on strategies to best support the needs of our subgroups
- Implemented coaching cycles at the elementary level for more targeted Math and Literacy support
- Redesigned the Middle school schedule to include 80 minutes of Science/Social Studies
- More focus on Inquiry and rebuilding Science units focused on NGSS standards K-8

Thank You

